(1)

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 4404 / 2005

PETITIONER: Smt. Sarojbai Kashyap, W/o Shri Bharat Lal Kashyap, Represented by Power of Attorney Holder Shri Bharat Lal Kashyap , S/o Late Shri Bhujbal Singh Kashyap Profession- Vaidya, Aged about 60 years, R/o Village Khudmudi,Tahasil Berla, District Durg , Chhattisgarh

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS:

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through the Secretary, Department of Revenue D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, C.G.

2. The Secretary, P.W.D Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, C.G.

3. The Collector District Durg (C.G.)

4. The Executive Engineer, Public Works Department , Government of Chhattisgarh, P.W.D. Office, Bemetara, District Durg (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3396/05 Presented by Shri A/o K Devendran dated 19/7/05

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUENCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBTION, CERTIORARI, AND ANY OTHER WRITS, DIRECTIONS OR ORDERS.

46

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP 4404/05 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	16.9.2005 Shri Alok Devangan, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sandeep Dubey, Govt. Adv. for the State. Heard. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226/277 of the Constitution of India. The main grievance of the petitioner is that in the year 1973-74 the Public Works Department of the erstwhile State of M.P. without acquiring and without awarding any compensation utilized the petitioner's agricultural land for the purpose of construction of road. Thereafter, the petitioner made several representations to the respondent authorities but they are not paying any heed to the same. Counsel for the petitioner submits that in the circumstances, the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent Nos.2 & 4 and in turn they be directed to make the payment of land utilized by the government, to which learned counsel for the respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the request made by counsel for the petitioner appears to be just, fair and reasonable, therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation	

SDES/2005 - 20,000

47

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	to respondent Nos.2 & 4 within ten days from today and in turn respondents are directed to decide the representation of the petitioner, the compensation payable to the petitioner, if the petitioner's land is utilized by the government and make the payment of compensation in accordance with law within a period of three months from today. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge	

SDFS/2005 - 20,000